DEVELOPING FUNCTIONAL MODEL FOR THE TRANSLATION OF CHILDREN LITERATURE

by Eko Setyo Humanika Ry Radjaban

Submission date: 17-Apr-2023 11:58AM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 2066755232

File name: Functional_Model_for_The_Translation_of_Children_Literature.pdf (289.53K)

Word count: 2655

Character count: 14611



The 5th ELTLT International Conference Proceedings October 2016



DEVELOPING FUNCTIONAL MODEL FOR THE TRANSLATION OF CHILDREN LITERATURE

Eko Setyo Humanika RY Radjaban

English Literature Department
University of Technology Yogyakarta (UTY)

Yogyakarta - Indonesia eko.humanika@uty.ac.id

Abstract

Translation is an effort to create similarity. Definitions of translation always imply 'similarity' as the purpose of the action. The idea of 'similarity' in translation, however, is not so simple as finding the similar meaning in other languages. It is complicated, covers vast spectrum and not merely a bilingual dictionary-based. Functional translation model proposes the way of finding simirarity beyond the sentence level. With intratextual and extratextual analyses, reffered to as looping model, functional translation gives specific and detail step to produce similarity. Those analyses enable the translators to unveil the text to get its 'hidden' feature and help the translators find the type of similarity the source language (20) text requires. This paper develop the functional model for the translation of children literature. Research and Development (RD) model from Borg [6] Gall, with modification, is employed in two steps. In this first step, three activities, namely developing preliminary form of product, doing preliminary field testing, and reling main product are done. It produces a conceptual model of functional translation applied in the translation of children literature. The second step, consisting of main field testing and final product revision, will produce the established functional translation model for children literature.

Keywords - functional translation, looping model, children literature

Introduction

Translating children literature is very challenging. Experts agree that translating text, moreover liteary text, for children is a complex assignment. Not only is the translator demanded to get the message in children perspective but he is also challenged to reconstruct the mesage in accordance with in children's way of thinking. As Cascalana points out, if the translation is intended for children, the complexity increases (2006: 97).

Translators of children literature occupy a unique 13 sition. They are not the implied readers of the source language (SL) text since the text is addressed to children with the source (language) culture. The text is written by the SL text writter based on his assumption of the source culture (SC) background in the children's repertoire. As

the real readers, instead of the implied readers, of the text, the translators are demanded to grasp the message of the texts with SL children's imagination, something that they even possibly never experienced.

When rewriting the message in the target language (TL), as the real writers, they have to be fully aware of his target pole repertoire. They have to write their translation in the way that TL children can comprehend it. When the story come to the children as a printed text, the translators (now the impled writer) have to own specific ability to tell the story to TL children with TL culture.

Iser is right when saying that a text cannot adapt itself to each reader it comes into contact with (Sousa, 2002: 17). It is the readers who tries to adapt themselves to the text. Adapting to text is a big problem for

children as children, according to Nikolaeva, have no capability to interprete for gn semiosphere, and, as Chamber states, have not discovered how to shift the gears of their personality according to the invitation offered by the book. In this respect they are unyielding readers. They want the book to suit them, tending to expect an author to take them as he finds them rather than they taking the book as they find it (Sausa 2002: 17). Since children cannot adapt themsleves to the

Since children cannot adapt themsleves to the text, it is the writer's responsibility to make the text suited to the children. When the text is translated into other languages, the responsibility lies on the translator. The translator is required to make the translated text accesible for children. Furthermore, translator is also demanded to be the bridge between SL text and TL readers. Sousa (13)02: 21) suggests that the greater the relevant cultural knowled 13 of the reader, the more successful is the interaction between the reader and the text. This is in line with Relevance and Scopos theory principles. Relevance theory proposes two principles; (1) the greater the contextual effect, the greater the relevance, and (2) the greater the effort needed, the lower the relevance will be. For Relevence theory if the text has (or is made to have) greater contextual effect, it will be more relevant and will be understood more easily. And, if more effort is needed to understand the text, the text is less relevance, and therefore more difficult to understand.

Meanwhile, Scopos theory highlights the functional translation. Translation is inctional if it achieves the intended purpose. Functionality means that a text (in this case translation) 'works' for its receiver in a particular communicative situation is the way the sender want it to work. If the purpose is information, the text should offer this in form comprehensible to the audience, if the purpose is to amuse, then the text should actually make its readers laugh or at least smile (Nord, 2010).

All these principle underline what Stolze put forth that the starting point of translating children literature is a view of translation as rewriting for different audiences in different times, places and culture" (in Lefevere, 2003: 208).

Methodology

This research employs research and development model from Borg dan Gall (1983) with modification. The research and collecting information was done identifying and analyzing translation problems faced by the students. The analysis focused on the problems 19 used by (1) the shift of implied readers of source text and target text, and (2) the different context of situation and context of culture of the source language and that of the target one.

The next step, develop preliminary form of product produced a conceptual model of functional translation for children literature. This was done by combining Sperer, Wilson and Gutt's Relevance theory and Vermeer and Nord's Skopos theory principles.

In *the preliminary field testing*, the researchers invited inputs and suggestions from experts and translators. It was done by sending them questionaire, interviewing them, and inviting them in a focus group discussion.

In the *main product revision*, the product was revised based on the inputs and suggestions from the experts and translator as informants. This step produced a mode of functional translation for children literature, referred to as Model 1. This model will be tested in in the *main field testing* and *final product revision* to produce an established model of functional translation for children literature.

Finding and Discussion

Functional Translation for Children Literature, Conceptual model

The 5th ELTLT CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS October 2016

Translation activity in functional perspective goes in a 'top down model'. Instead of starting it by analysing a translation unit, such as sentence, phrase, or word, the activity starts from a macrostrategy. With this strategy, the translators analyze extra and intratextual factor of the text, or known as looping model (Nord, 2005). Extratextual factor includes sender (who send the text?), intention (what for?), recipient (to whom?), medium (by which medium?), place (where?), time (when?), motive (why?), and text function (with what function?). The result of the analysis on these factor re then compared with the corresponding factors in the prospective TT situation to give the translators insight of how they go with their translation method and strategy.

Intratextual factors of a text covers subject matter, content, presupposition, non verbal elemen, lexis, sentence structure, and suprasegmental features. In intratextual analysis, functional transation underlines the importance of text analysis. Texts are classified into three; informative (such as news texts, business correspondence, official documents, technical texts. scholarly articles), expressive (literary genres such as novels, short stories and poetry) and operative (advertisements, political propaganda, satirical prose). Categorizing text into typology, however, is not easy.

In general, establishing equivalence between ST and TT becomes the purpose of transating activity (Nord, 2005). It means that when translating an informative text the translator must give a correct and complete message of the text's content and should be guided, in terms of stylistic choices, by the dominant norms of the translation of an expressive text requires the translation of an expressive text requires the translator to produce an "analogy" of stylistic effect, allowing the target readers to experience the same impression of the relationship between form and content as the reader of the original.

Here, stylistic choices in translation are naturally guid by those made in the source text. And, in the translation of an operative text, the translator should be guided by the overall aim of provoking the same reaction in the audience: for example to purchase the relevant product or vot for a particular candidate. In this case, the translator may even change the content and stylistic features of the original if they do not serve the intended purpose.

Functional Translation of Children Literature, A sample model

As an application of above conceptual model, a sample model is proposed. The story of *Alice in Wonderland* is used as a case point. This children story is going to be translated into Indonesian. Parody as one of this story's specific traits will be the subject of analysis. Extratextual analysis of the text was done to all aspects of the text. The table below show the result of the analysis and its comparison to the prospective TT.

Table 1: Comparison of ST analysis and its prospective TT

No	Factor	ST	Prospective TT
1	Sender	Lewis Carroll, a writer with Western	Book publisher wishing to translate Alice's stories
		culture	
		bacground	
		Western	Indonesia children
		children with	with Indonesian
		English	language and culture
		language and	baground. They do
2	Recipient	western	not have access to
		culture as	English language
		their	and Western culture
		background	
		knowledge	
3	Time	1865	2014
4	Place	England	Indonesia
5	Text type	Expressive	Expressive with reader-orientation
		Written, in a	Written, in a
6	Medium	children story book	translated novel
		To entertain	To entertain
		children with	children with
7	Motive	Western	Indonesian culture
		culture	background
		background	
			Jackground

02 ISBN 978-602-73769-3-9

Meanwhile, the intratextual analysis of Alice in Wonderland result in such description below.

Table 1: Intratextual analysis of the book

No	Factor	ST
1	Content	Fictional, not reffering to the real world, written in narrative prose, informal
1	Comen	register (colloqual,play of word, parody)
2	Presupposition	The author presupposed that the readers were aware of Victorian culture, cricket, song and nursery rhymes in that times, sosial structure of Victorian era, Rich in figurative lexical
3	Lexis	items, full of puns and parodies
4	Sentence structure	Complex, full of dialogue
5	Suprasegmental	Some parts of the book have suprasegmental feature which give emotional load to the text (Example: bautiful soup)

One of the parodies in Alice's is *How doth* the little crocodilr. This is a prody of a nursery rhyme popular in the time of Carroll entitled Against idleness and mischief. This Issac Watt's paedogogic rhyme of a hard working little bee looking for honey from one flower to another and building her nest is parodied into a lazy crocodile, a predator on top of food chain, which is lazily lying in the stream of Nile waiting for small fishes coming into his mouth.

Carroll wrote *How doth the little crocodile* based on his presupposition of Victorian children's familiarity to Watt's *Against idleness and mischief*. When the children read *How doth the little crocodile* they know for sure that it is a parody of *Against idleness and mischief*. This parody gave comical effect to the readers.

Translating the parody in a mechanic way (Weaver 2006: 85) by translating on word for word basis (such as the one in the table below) will surely notgive similar effect as the original. This model of translation is therefore not functional at all.

Table 3: The translation in a mechanic way

Source Text	Mechanic translation
How doth the little crocodile Improve his shining tail 22 d pour the waters of the Nile On every golden scale	Sungguh buaya yang kecil, Mengembangkan ekornya yang berkilap, Dan menyemburkan air Sungai Nil Ke seluruh tubuh bersisik emasnya
How cheerfully he seems to grin How neatly spread his claws And welcome little fishes in With gently smiling jaws	Sungguh ceria seriangainya terlihat Sungguh rapi cakarnya terentang Menyambut ikan-ikan kecil mengeliat Dengan rahang yang tersenyum senang!

The recipients of the translation are Indonesian children. They are not familiar wih Isac Watt's Against idleness and mischief. When the rhyme was parodied into How doth the little crocodile and translated as it is, the readers will not fell it as a parody. Therefore, the motive of writing the story and translating it in Indonesian, that is entertaning the readers, will not be achieved. There is a problem of presupposition in this translation. Mechanic translation as above preserves presupposition of the writer of the original text. In Indonesian background, this presupposition does not work as it did in Victorian culture and era.

Other model of translation is then proposed. This translation uses dynamic aquivalence instead of formal correspondence as its target.

ISBN 978-602-73769-3-9 203

The 5th ELTLT CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS October 2016

Table 4 : Proposed translation

The parody	Original song		
Tikus-tikus yang rakus			
(The greedy rats)	Kupu-kupu yang lucu		
Ke mana engkau lari	(Beautiful butterfly)		
(Where do you run)	Kemana engkau terbang		
Hilir mudik mencuri	(Where do you fly)		
(Busy stealing)	Hilir mudik mencari		
Simpanan padi kami	(Busy looking for)		
(Our rice)	Bunga-bunga yang		
Berduyun-duyun (You	kembang (The blossom		
are in throngs)	flower)		
Masuk ke dalam tanah	Berayun-ayun (Swingin)		
(Getting into the	Pada tangkai yang lemah		
ground	(On a fragile stalk)		
Tidakkah dirimu (Don't	Tidakkah sayapmu		
you)	(Don't your wings)		
Merasa salah (Feel	Merasa lelah (Fell tired)		
guilty)			

With this way, the translator similarity beyond the sentence level. No word in Tikus-tikus yang rakus indeed corresponds in a dictionary-based way with any word in How doth the little crocodile. this translation has great However. contextual effect because it can connect with Indonesian children's repertoir. Indonesian children will directly infere it as a slipped form of Kupu-kupu yang lucu, a children song which is there in their repertoir. This translation is relevant since the readers do not need to make excessive processing effort to understand it. It works in that way because the translator presupposes the 'accurate' cultural backround of the readers.

This translation is also functional. It achieves the purpose of the text. The text is entertaining for Indonesian children. It 'works' for Indonesian childrens as its implied readers in a particular communicative situation in the way the translator wants it to work.

Moreover, the translation is rendered as a song. It is singable. Reffering to Oittinen suggestion that in case of Alice in Wonderland, the songs in the translation must be singable too and Hancok conclusion in her article that the tunes are parts of the

intended efect, adding bathos or zest to the splendid absurdity of the words. (2000: 110), this translation has played appropriate function in the target pole.

Bibliography

Borg, Walter R & Meredith D Gall (1983) Educational Research; An Introduction. United States: Longman

Cascallana, BG(2006) Translating Cultural Intertextuality in Children Literature in Children Literature and Translation, Challenges and Strategies. Edited by Jan Van Coillie and Walter P Verschueren. Manchester: St Jerome Publishing

Lefevere, Andrě (1992) Translating, Rewriting and the Manipulation of Literary Fame. London and New York: Routledge.

Nord, Christiane (2010)Loyalty and fidelity in specialized translation. Http://www

Confluencias.net/n4/nord.pdf&k=A-skopostheory-of-translation. Retrieved on 12 June 2016.

Nord, Christiane (2005)Text Analysis in Translation, Theory, Methodology, and Didactic Application of a Model for Translation-Oriented Text Analysis. Second Edition. Amsterdam: Radopi.

Oittinen, Riitta (2000) Translating for Children, Garland Publishing, Inc. New York-page 110)

Sousa, Christina (2002) TL versus SL Implied Reader: Assessing Receptivity when Translating Children's Literature. *META Translation Journal*. Vol XLVII. No. 1. 2002. Page. 19 – 29.

Weaver, Warren (2006)Alice in Many Tongues; The Translation of Alice in Wonderland. USA: Martino Publishing.

ISBN 978-602-73769-3-9

DEVELOPING FUNCTIONAL MODEL FOR THE TRANSLATION OF CHILDREN LITERATURE

ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT			
SIMILA	8 ARITY INDEX	10% INTERNET SOURCES	7% PUBLICATIONS	14% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES			
1	Submitt Student Pape	ed to 9676		2%
2	Submitt Student Pape	ed to University	of Southampt	2 _%
3	Submitt Student Pape	ed to iGroup		1 %
4	Submitt Student Pape	ed to Universita r	s Trunojoyo	1 %
5	hdl.hand Internet Source			1 %
6	Submitt Student Pape	ed to University	of Edinburgh	1 %
7	Submitt Student Pape	ed to Middlesex	University	1 %
8	WWW.Sa	stratsuki.rocks		1 %
9	Submitt Universi	ed to Manchest ty	er Metropolita	1 %

10	alicediscussion.weebly.com Internet Source	1 %
11	Christiane Nord. "Text Analysis in Translation", Brill, 2006 Publication	1 %
12	Submitted to Aberystwyth University Student Paper	1 %
13	Natalia Kaloh Vid. " Notes in English retranslations of Mikhail Bulgakov's ", Babel. Revue internationale de la traduction / International Journal of Translation, 2023 Publication	1 %
14	Submitted to University of Newcastle upon Tyne Student Paper	1 %
15	Submitted to University of Surrey Student Paper	1 %
16	eprints.untirta.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
17	Tri Waluyo, Sutarman. "Comparative Analysis of the Performance of Single Sign-On Authentication Systems with OpenID and OAuth Protocols", International Journal of Computer and Information Technology(2279-0764), 2022 Publication	<1%

18	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	<1%
19	eprints.undip.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
20	media.neliti.com Internet Source	<1%
21	studenttheses.uu.nl Internet Source	<1 %
22	Lewis Carroll, Salvador Dalí. "Alice's Adventures in Wonderland", Walter de Gruyter GmbH, 2016 Publication	<1%

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography On